UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,040	04/30/2007	Renaud Le Devehat	FMCE-P138	8306
Henry C Query	7590 06/30/201 . <b>Jr</b>	EXAMINER		
504 S Pierce Av	venue	PRICE, CRAIG JAMES		
Wheaton, IL 60187			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/554,040	LE DEVEHAT, RENAUD		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		Claig Filce		3733	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>14 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Noti replies: (1) an amendment, a eal (with appeal fee) in compl	ice of A iffidavit, liance v	appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w vith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	<del></del>	date of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date se ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
have tunder set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 C ension and the corresponding ar chortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mail	mount o	f the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	CE OF APPEAL				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was NOMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(	(e)), to a	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a	brief. v	will not be entered be	cause
_	(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			,.	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materia	ally red	ucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of fina	ally reje	cted claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲	,	21. See attached Notice of No	on-Con	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. 🗍	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				,
6. 🗖	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		arate. ti	melv filed amendmer	nt canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).		,	<b>,</b>	
7. 🛛		xill not be entered, or b) [	☐ will	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4 and 7-19</u> .				
	Claim(s) rejected. <u>7,3,4 and 7-19.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under	appeal	and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims a	after en	try is below or attache	ed.
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. 🔼	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant's arguments that the winch 42 of LeDevehat 1 connection between the first and second locations, and I The fact that the cable is wound on the winch inherently Furthermore, Ledevehat 1, discloses in column 3, lines where the connection cable 41 allows the connection system. Applicant's arguments that LeDevehat 2 does not discable is round, is not persuasive as LeDevehat 2 discloses.	does not frictionally engage that the cable is merely wound discloses that the cable is frictionally engage that the cable is frictionally engage that the cable is frictionally engage that the cable 41 is wound on the stem to be brought into the poscious a pulley which is positionally engage to the cable and the cable in the cable is merely would be cable in the cable in the cable is merely would be cable in the cable in the cable is merely would be cable in the cable in the cable is merely would be cable in the cable in the cable is merely would be cable in the cable in	the con und upon ctionalling he wind osition ioned a	nnection cable 41 to non the winch 42, is now y engaged with the with 42 operating at corof the manifold 29, countries the second location	nove the ot persuasive. inch. ostant speed, olumn 3,lines 9- arouind which a
12. Г	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (				
	Other: See Continuation Sheet.				

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

/Robin O. Evans/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3753 /C. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3753

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100625

Application No.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendment to claim 7 overcomes the drawing objection. However, the limitation "hydraulic clips" in claim 19, lacks antecedent basis in the specification. Appropriate correction is required. Applicant's amendment to claim 1 overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection.